	Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 File	d 06/05/17 Page 1 of 37			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LARRY KLAYMAN (D.C. BAR NO. 334581) FREEDOM WATCH, INC. 2020 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 345 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: 561.558.5536 Email: leklayman@gmail.com <i>Pro Hac Vice to be Filed</i> MICHAEL D. KOLODZI (CAL. BAR NO. 255772) 433 North Camden Drive, Suite 600 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone: 310.279.5212 Facsimile: 866.571.6094 Email: mdk@mdklawfirm.com <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> <i>KIARA ROBLES</i>				
11	IN THE UNITED OTATES DISTDICT COUDT				
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	KIARA ROBLES, an individual Oakland, CA	Case No.:			
15 16	Plaintiff, v.	COMPLAINT			
17 18	THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY Berkeley, CA	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
19	and				
20	JANET NAPOLITANO				
20	Individually and in her official capacity as President of the University of California				
22	and				
23	MONICA LOZANO				
24	Individually and in her official capacity as Chair of the Regents of the University of California				
25	and				
26 27 28	NICHOLAS DIRKS Individually and in his official capacity as Chancellor of the University of California, Berkeley and				

	Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 2 of 37	
1 2 3 4	THE COALITION TO DEFEND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION, INTEGRATION, & IMMIGRANT RIGHTS, AND FIGHT FOR EQUALITY BY ANY MEANS NECESSARY Detroit, MI and	
5	IAN DABNEY MILLER, an individual	
6	and	
7	RAHA MIRABDAL a.k.a. Shadi Banoo, an individual	
8	and	
9	JESSE ARREGUIN	
10	Individually and in his official capacity as the Mayor of Berkeley, California	
11	and	
12 13	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA POLICE DEPARTMENT Barkalay, CA	
14	Berkeley, CA	
15	and	
16 17	MARGO BENNETT Individually and in her official capacity as the Chief of the University California Police Department, Berkeley	
18	and	
19	CITY OF BERKELEY POLICE DEPARTMENT	
20	Berkeley, CA	
21	and ANDREW CREENWOOD	
22	ANDREW GREENWOOD Individually and in his official capacity as the Chief of the Deductory Delice Department	
23	Chief of the Berkeley Police Department	
24	and	
25	JOHN BURTON Individually and in his official capacity as the California Democratic Party Chairman	
26	California Democratic Party Chairman and	
27	anu	
28	NANCY PELOSI Individually and in her official capacity as the	
	2C	0

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 3 of 37 Minority Leader of the House of Representatives and

3 GEORGE SOROS, an individual

4 and 5 IN THE NAME OF HUMANITY, WE REFUSE TO ACCEPT A FASCIST AMERICA New York, NY 6 7 and

Defendants.

8 JOHN DOES 1-20, et al.

9 10

1

2

11 I. **INTRODUCTION**

12 Plaintiff Kiara Robles ("Plaintiff" and/or "Robles") brings this complaint against 13 Defendants The Regents of the University of California (the "Regents"), Defendant Janet 14 Napolitano ("Napolitano"), Defendant Monica Lozano ("Lozano"), Defendant Nicholas Dirks 15 ("Dirks"), Defendant The Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, Integration & Immigrant 16 Rights, and Fight for Equality By Any Means Necessary ("BAMN"), Defendant Ian Dabney 17 Miller ("Miller"), Defendant Raha Mirabdal ("Mirabdal"), Defendant Jesse Arreguin 18 ("Arreguin"), Defendant University of California Police Department ("UCPD"), Defendant 19 Margo Bennett ("Bennett"), Defendant City of Berkeley Police Department ("BPD), Defendant 20 Andrew Greenwood ("Greenwood"), Defendant John Burton ("Burton"), Defendant Nancy 21 Pelosi ("Pelosi"), Defendant George Soros ("Soros"), Defendant In the Name of Humanity, We 22 REFUSE to Accept a Fascist America ("Refuse Fascism"), and Defendant John Does 1-10. 23 (collectively, "Defendants", unless individually named).

24

The University of California Berkeley ("UC Berkeley"), under the leadership of and at 25 the direction of the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano and Dirks, has acted to unconstitutionally 26 curtail the First Amendment rights of its students and invitees thereof. Acting in concert with 27 Refuse Fascism, Arreguin, and the low level terrorism group BAMN – of which Arreguin is a 28 widely publicized member, the Regents and Napolitano, Lozano, and Dirks - along with each

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 4 of 37

and every other named Defendant - have subjected UC Berkeley students and invitees who do
 not subscribe to the radical, left wing philosophies sanctioned by Defendants to severe violence
 and bodily harm for merely expressing a differing viewpoint, in clear contravention of their
 rights under the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

5 Plaintiff Robles is a resident of Oakland, California. On February 1, 2017, Plaintiff 6 Robles planned to attend a speech by Milo Yiannopoulos, a media personality and political 7 commentator, hosted on the UC Berkeley campus. On the day of Milo Yiannopoulos' speech, 8 however, over 1,500 "protestors" gathered at UC Berkeley's Sproul Plaza and the "protestors" 9 erupted into violence just fifteen minutes after Plaintiff Robles' arrival onto the UC Berkeley 10 campus. Several people, including Plaintiff Robles, were intentionally and violently attacked by 11 both masked and unmasked assailants and the UC Berkeley campus incurred over \$100,000 12 worth of damage. Plaintiff Robles was attacked with extremely painful pepper spray and bear 13 mace by masked assailants amongst the "protestors" because she chose to exercise her right to 14 freedom of speech and show support for the planned speaker, Milo Yiannopoulos.



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At the time that Plaintiff Robles was attacked, despite the fact that the "protestors" had erupted into violence, there was shockingly no campus police present near Plaintiff Robles. Instead, nearly 100 campus police and SWAT members waited in the Student Union building, within eyesight of the violence happening outside, watching the protestors become more

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 5 of 37

1 <u>belligerent and dangerous</u>.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11



Thus, the attackers escaped and there was no one to immediately aid Plaintiff Robles after she was intentionally and violently sprayed in the face with bear mace. It was the intentional and conscious decision of the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano, Dirks, and Arreguin—in concert with each and every named Defendant—to withhold effective police protection for politically conservative attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event. Furthermore, Defendants chose to withhold police protection at the Milo Yiannopolous event because Milo Yiannopolous and a large number of his supporters, including Plaintiff Robles, are gay.

Tellingly, UC Berkeley regularly provides police protection for politically charged events
and protests on its campus without incident. But on this day, the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano
and Dirks, and Arreguin—in concert with each and every named Defendant—willfully withheld
their police manpower from protecting students and event attendees because the speaker and his
supporters went against the political beliefs of the majority of UC Berkeley's students and its
administration.¹ Furthermore, the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano, Dirks, and Arreguin – in concert
with each and every named Defendant - also intentionally withheld proper security for the Milo

26

¹ Ian Hanchett, CNN Report: Berkeley Republicans Insulted for Supporting Trump, Campus 'Uncomfortable' With Dissenting Opinions, Breitbart, September 7, 2016, available at:

http://www.breitbart.com/video/2016/09/07/cnn-report-berkeley-republicans-insulted-for-supporting-trump-campusuncomfortable-with-dissenting-opinions/.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 6 of 37

1 Yiannopoulos event because Milo Yiannopoulos himself is gay and a large number of his 2 supporters identify as gay. The Regents, Napolitano, Lozano, Dirks, and Arreguin – in concert 3 with each and every named Defendant-acting under color of state law, intentionally 4 discriminated against Plaintiff Robles as a gay individual—a member of an identifiable class— 5 in violation of Plaintiff Robles' constitutional rights. In doing so, the Regents, Napolitano, 6 Lozano, and Dirks-in concert with each and every named Defendant-failed to enforce UC 7 Berkeley's Non-Discrimination Policy, which prohibits sexual orientation discrimination of 8 individuals while they are on University property.²

9 Indeed, students at UC Berkeley who, like Plaintiff Robles, happen to possess 10 conservative viewpoints have regularly been targeted, and the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano and 11 Dirks regularly "turn a blind eye" to such unconstitutional conduct. One individual articulated 12 that, "[p]eople feel like Republicans don't have a home here, and it's a little bit intimidating to 13 have people walk by and want to yell at you or denounce your beliefs, simply because you're 14 sitting out there identifying as a Republican [...] there's a complete lack of tolerance for an idea that any member of the Berkeley community could hold the beliefs that we do".³ Republican 15 16 students receive online threats and hear from other students that "[t]hey're going to come after 17 us, we don't belong on this campus, we don't have a place on this campus."⁴ Specifically, choosing to openly support President Trump "kind of puts a target on your head."⁵ A majority of 18 19 the Berkeley College Republicans, the school group that organized the Milo Yiannopoulos event, 20 feel "reluctant to share their political beliefs on campus."⁶ CNN anchor Kyung Lah noted "[t]he 21 irony runs thick to these students, a place that forged free speech on campus, uncomfortable with 22 political speech with which they disagree."⁷

23

26

 ² UC Berkeley Nondiscrimination Policy Statement—Student related matters, available at: http://sa.berkeley.edu/nondiscrimination; University of California Policy Policies Applying to Campus Activities, Organizations and Students 10.00: Preamble and General Provisions, available at:

- 25 http://policy.ucop.edu/doc/2710517/PACAOS-10.
 - ³ Hanchett, *supra* note 1
 - ⁴ Id.
 - ⁵ Id.
- 27 ⁶ *Id.*
- 28 7 Id.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 7 of 37

1 Furthermore, during a meeting of the Berkeley College Republicans in 2016, a group of 2 protestors confronted their club table and "proceeded to snatch and attempt to rip up [their] 3 Donald Trump cut-out [...] the group of protestors then circled [them] and began yelling slurs at [them] in reference to Donald Trump. Slurs such as 'racists,' 'bigots,' and 'pieces of shit' were 4 velled out by the protestors"⁸ A Berkeley College Republican member was physically assaulted 5 6 by a protestor while attempting to film the incident.⁹ Witnesses to the event complained that the 7 campus police officers on the scene were otherwise unhelpful, saying that they merely "sat around" while the group was attacked.¹⁰ The student that destroyed the cutout of President 8 9 Trump boasted "yeah I did it [...] it will happen again [...] there's just four of you all [College Republicans] and we will come back with 1,000 of us."¹¹ The offending student admitted this in 10 11 front of campus police but no action was taken against him.¹² The sentiment among the Berkeley College Republicans is that if they had done the same to other political clubs, "there is no doubt 12 that we would have been escorted off campus, but nothing will happen to the people who 13 interrupted us today."¹³ After the incident, one Berkeley College Republican member said, "I 14 15 now know that UC Berkeley-the birthplace of the Free Speech Movement-is not a place I can 16 safely exercise my constitutionally protected right to free speech."¹⁴ UC Berkeley clearly gives 17 preferential treatment and protection to those students and student organizations that represent 18 political ideals that fall in line with those held by its own liberal administration, including but not 19 limited to Defendants. The Regents', Napolitano's, Lozano's, Dirks', and Arreguin's intentional 20 and conscious decision to withhold effective police protection for Pro-Republican attendees of 21 the Milo Yiannopoulos – in concert with each and every named Defendant - reflects their bias 22 and put its students and invitees in grave danger.

- 25 ⁹ *Id.*
- 10 Id.
- 26 ¹¹ *Id*.
- $\begin{array}{c} 1^2 Id. \\ 1^3 Id. \end{array}$
- $\frac{1}{28} = \frac{1}{4} Id.$

 ⁸ Stephen Frank, UC Administrators Allow Violence Against Republicans on UC Berkeley Campus, California
 Political Review, September 9, 2016, available at: http://www.capoliticalreview.com/capoliticalnewsandviews/ucadministrators-allow-violence-against-republicans-on-uc-berkeley-campus/.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 8 of 37

1 UC Berkeley is no stranger to incidents of violent protest and was required to provide 2 effective police protection in anticipation of the high probability that the Milo Yiannopoulos 3 protest would lead to dangerous physical altercations. In fact, one of the most famous violent student protests in U.S. history took place at UC Berkeley's campus. On May 15, 1969, over 4 3,000 students protested at UC Berkeley over city plans to turn a vacant lot near campus into a 5 6 parking lot. On that day, known as Bloody Thursday, three students suffered punctured lungs, 7 another a shattered leg, several people were hospitalized with shotgun wounds, and one police officer was stabbed.¹⁵ At least 128 Berkeley residents were admitted to local hospitals for injuries 8 9 sustained during the riot.¹⁶ Alan Blanchard was permanently blinded by a gunshot wound to his face.¹⁷ James Rector, who was watching the riot from a rooftop, was shot by police gunfire; he 10 died four days later.¹⁸ A state of emergency was declared by the governor and late President 11 Ronald Reagan and 2,200 National Guard troops were sent in to restore order.¹⁹ Over the next 12 several days, police and troops arrested nearly 1,000 people, including 200 for felonies, while 13 500 were booked at the local jail.²⁰ More recently, in 2011, violence erupted between campus 14 15 police and students that gathered in UC Berkeley's Sproul Plaza to protest for the Occupy 16 movement²¹. UC Berkeley students have a history in participating in some of the city's worst 17 riots and violent protests—among them, the 2014 protest of the death of Eric Garner, which resulted in looting, vandalism, arson, and assault on Berkeley police officers.²² 18

19

24

23 17 Id.

¹⁸ Chris Enloe *supra* note 15.

²⁰ Id.

 ¹⁵ Chris Enloe, See how Ronald Reagan shut down violent UC Berkeley protesters in 1969 when he was Calif. Governor, The Blaze, Feb. 5, 2017, available at: http://www.theblaze.com/news/2017/02/05/see-how-ronaldreagan-shut-down-violent-uc-berkeley-protesters-in-1969-when-he-was-calif-governor/

¹⁶ People's Park (Berkeley), Wikipedia, available at

²² https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/People's_Park_(Berkeley)#cite_note-time1970-24

¹⁹ Id.

 ²¹ Carly Schwartz, Occupy U.C. Berkeley Protesters Face Violent Confrontation With Campus Police, The Huffington Post, January 10, 2011, available at: http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/11/10/occupy-uc-berkeley-police_n_1086195.html.

 ^{27 &}lt;sup>22</sup> Jessie Lau and Melissa Wen, *Police fire tear gas at hundreds of protesters, demonstration disperses at about 3 a.m.*, December 7, 2014, available at: http://www.dailycal.org/2014/12/07/police-fire-tear-gas-protesters-berkeley-demonstration-disperses-3-m/.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 9 of 37

1 Thus, Defendants the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano, Dirks, Arreguin, UCPD, BPD, 2 Bennett, and Greenwood should have been fully prepared for violence to break out at the Milo 3 Yiannopoulos event. In fact, two weeks before the UC Berkeley riot, a man was shot outside a University of Washington hall prior to another planned Milo Yiannopoulos speaking 4 engagement.²³ As was true at the UC Berkeley event, masked protestors in Washington threw 5 6 bricks at police and were armed with weapons, which they used to assault members of the crowd.²⁴ Another planned speaking engagement by Milo Yiannopoulos at UC Berkeley's sister 7 8 school, UC Davis, was cancelled after school groups and university police determined that it was 9 unsafe to continue the event amidst the chaotic protests that broke out.²⁵ Defendants knew for 10 weeks that Yiannopoulos' appearance could prompt violent protests that would threaten the 11 school's long tradition of facilitating free speech. Defendants should have reasonably anticipated a violent response to Milo Yiannopoulos' presence on their campus and acted accordingly by 12 13 providing effective police protection to those attending the event. The school's inaction was 14 motivated by the fact that Milo Yiannopoulos and his supporters have opposing viewpoints to the 15 majority of the school's students and administration. Nicholas Dirks, the current Chancellor of 16 UC Berkeley, has called Milo Yiannopoulos "a troll and provocateur who uses odious behavior in part to 'entertain,' but also to deflect any serious engagement with ideas."²⁶ 17

18 Furthermore, given the current political climate in the United States, Defendants the 19 Regents, Napolitano, Lozano, Dirks, Arreguin, UCPD, BPD, Bennett, and Greenwood should 20 have foreseen that the protest of a controversial Republican political figure such as Milo 21 Yiannopoulos could likely turn violent. Since the 2016 presidential election, there have been 22 several incidents where President Trump supporters have been the target of vicious protestors.

23

²⁴ Id.

²³ Rick Anderson, Man shot before Breitbart editor Milo Yiannopoulos' speaking event is in critical condition, Los Angeles Times, January 21, 2017, available at: http://www.latimes.com/nation/la-na-yiannopoulos-shooting-24 20170121-story.html. 25

²⁵ Ben Poston and Shelby Grad, UC protests shut down Milo Yiannopoulos talk, sparking free speech debate, 26 Los Angeles Times, January 15, 2017, available at: http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-milo-viannopoulosuc-davis-20170115-story.html. 27

²⁶ Patrick May, UC Berkeley riot raises questions about free speech, The Mercury News, February 2, 2017, available at: http://www.mercurvnews.com/2017/02/02/uc-berkelev-riot-raise-guestions-about-free-speech/. 28

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 10 of 37

1 Recently, in Seattle, Washington, furthered by the actions of President Obama, his surrogates, 2 agents and co-conspirators, a Black Lives Matter activist ranted to a large crowd gathered in 3 protest of President Trump's Executive Order Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States, explicitly saying that "...we need to start killing people."²⁷ She went on to 4 5 expressly threaten and incite death to President Donald Trump, saying, "First off, we need to 6 start killing the White House. The White House must die. The White House, your fucking 7 White House, your fucking Presidents, they must go! Fuck the White House." ²⁸Recently, 8 protests have taken place at U.S. airports after President Trump issued his recent Executive 9 Order Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United States. There have been other 10 instances where President Trump supporters were violently attacked by those protesting the 11 president's policies.²⁹

To this day, anti-Trump sentiment is supported and furthered by key figures within the 12 13 Democratic party. On May 20, 2017, Burton, the former Chairman of California Democratic 14 party encouraged violent anti-Trump behavior during the Democratic California State convention held in Sacramento, California.³⁰ Burton made obscene gestures, holding up his middle fingers 15 16 while encouraging the crowd to yell "Fuck Donald Trump!".³¹ Congresswoman Pelosi, as House minority leader, showed her approval of this vehement sentiment and violent attitude toward 17 Trump supporters by smiling and laughing as a reaction to Burton's behavior.³² The actions of 18 19 these high ranking officials within the Democratic party endorse violence against Trump 20 supporters, and encourages members of the Democratic party and others to attack those that

21

²⁸ Id.

 ²⁷ Justin Caruso, *BLM Anti-Trump Protest in Seattle: 'We Need to Start Killing People'*, Daily Caller, Jan. 30, 2017, available at: http://dailycaller.com/2017/01/30/blm-anti-trump-protest-in-seattle-we-need-to-start-killing-people/.

 ²⁹ Stephens, Chase, *Video Shows Trump Supporter Knocked Out And Taunted By Violent Portland Airport Protesters*, available at: http://www.dailywire.com/news/12947/video-shows-trump-supporter-knocked-out-and-chase-stephens#.

 ³⁰ Cristina Laila, NANCY PELOSI PICTURED LAUGHING as California Democrats Chant "F*ck Donald Trump!", available at: http://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2017/05/photo-nancy-pelosi-pictured-laughing-california-democrats-chant-fck-donald-trump/.

³¹ Id.

³² *Id*.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 11 of 37

choose to support the President. This places citizens, like Plaintiff Robles, in present and
 continuing danger and in fear of her life, as she is more likely to be attacked when this hostile
 incitement to violence is fostered by high ranking Democrats such as Burton and Pelosi.

4 Defendants could have easily foreseen that an event where Milo Yiannopoulos was 5 scheduled to speak would draw a large vehement crowd of protestors that had strong opposing 6 opinions to the groups present at that speech. Based on the recent frequency of violence 7 associated with political protest in the United States, Defendants were, at a minimum, grossly 8 negligent—if not intentionally negligent— by hiding behind glass windows in order to further 9 the protestors' politically motivated agenda— in failing to provide effective police protection for 10 the crowds present at one of their own events. Defendants' actions were a callous and blatant 11 disregard for the safety of the crowd that came to hear Milo Yiannopolous speak.

12 Defendants the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano, and Dirks' pattern and practice of denying 13 the First Amendment rights of students and invitees who share a different viewpoint from their 14 own radical, leftist views was carried out in concert with and under the direction of the city of 15 Berkeley's Mayor, Arreguin. Arreguin is a public member of BAMN, a radical left wing 16 communist group that organizes demonstrations and litigation to achieve its political agenda. Arreguin openly broadcasts his membership to the violent organization.³³ Arreguin implemented 17 18 a stand down order to UCPD and the BPD, in concert with each and every Defendant, during the 19 Milo Yiannopoulos riots, instructing law enforcement not to intervene while pro-President 20 Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopolous supporters were being violently attacked.³⁴ Arreguin has made 21 his disdain for Milo Yiannopolous clear in the past, dishonestly labeling Yiannopolous as a "white nationalist" before he was set to speak at UC Berkeley.³⁵ In fact, these comments directly 22 23 incited the violence that broke out at the Milo Yiannopolous "protest."

24

As stated above, Arreguin's organization, BAMN, is a radical left wing communist group

27 ³⁴ *Id*.

 ³³ Justin Caruso, *Berkeley Mayor Publicly Belongs To Violent Leftist Group*, The Daily Caller, April 21, 2017, available at: http://dailycaller.com/2017/04/21/berkeley-mayor-publicly-belongs-to-violent-leftist-group/#ixzz4f7Kp99ul.

 ³⁵ Tom Ciccotta, Mayor of Berkeley Jesse Arreguin Smears MILO as 'White Nationalist', Breitbart, February 2, 2017, available at: http://www.breitbart.com/milo/2017/02/02/mayor-berkeley-calls-milo-white-nationalist/

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 12 of 37

1 that organizes demonstrations and litigation to achieve its political agenda.³⁶ BAMN has a history 2 of arranging violent protests, which have been classified as "low-level terrorism" by the Department of Defense.³⁷ For example, in 2016, BAMN led a protest in Sacramento that resulted 3 in ten people being hospitalized with stab wounds.³⁸ Police and video taken at the event 4 confirmed that BAMN members initiated the violence.³⁹ Refuse Fascism is a radical American, 5 6 left wing, anti-Trump, non-profit organization that organizes demonstrations to achieve its political agenda.⁴⁰ Refuse Fascism helped organize the shut down of the Milo Yiannopoulos 7 event, calling for its members to use "righteous" violence against "fascist" Yiannopoulos.⁴¹ After 8 9 the UC Berkeley riot, Refuse Fascism commented on its website that it supported the violence 10 that occurred, stating, "[f]or all these reasons what happened at UC Berkeley is part of the kind 11 of broad, powerful and meaningful protest which needs to continue on an unprecedented scale to OUST this regime from power."42 12 Both BAMN⁴³ and Refuse Fascism⁴⁴ are responsible for the orchestration of the riots that 13

Both BAMIN[®] and Refuse Fascism[®] are responsible for the orchestration of the flots that
 occurred during the Milo Yiannopoulos event. BAMN and Refuse Fascism members appeared at
 the protest to assault peaceful pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopolous supporters and

17

³⁶ BAMN, Wikipedia, available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BAMN#cite_note-20

- ³⁷ James Osborne, *Pentagon Exam Calls Protests 'Low-Level Terrorism,' Angering Activists*, Fox News, June
 17, 2009, available at: http://www.foxnews.com/story/2009/06/17/pentagon-exam-calls-protests-low-level-terrorism-angering-activists.html.
- ³⁸ Joseph Serna, *Neo-Nazis didn't start the violence at state Capitol, police say*, Los Angeles Times, June 27
 ²⁰ available at: http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-neo-nazi-event-stabbings-capitol-20160627-snap-story.html.

¹⁶

^{21 &}lt;sup>39</sup> *Id*.

 ⁴⁰ Rick Moran, Soros-Funded Group Gave 50K to Goons Who Provoked Berkeley Riot, PJ Media, February 4, 2017, available at: https://pjmedia.com/trending/2017/02/04/soros-funded-group-gave-50k-to-goons-who-provoked-berkley-riot/.

^{23 &}lt;sup>41</sup> *Id*.

 ⁴² Three Points on the Righteous Shut-Down of Fascist Milo Yiannopoulos at UC Berkeley, Refuse Fascism, February 2, 2017, available at: https://refusefascism.org/2017/02/02/three-points-on-the-righteous-shut-down-of-fascist-milo-yiannopoulos-at-uc-berkeley/.

 ⁴³ Top Ciccotta, *Berkeley Mayor Is Member of Antifa Facebook Group that Organized Riots*, Breitbart, April
 26 ⁴³ Top Ciccotta, *Berkeley Mayor Is Member of Antifa Facebook Group that Organized Riots*, Breitbart, April
 21, 2017, available at: http://www.breitbart.com/tech/2017/04/21/berkeley-mayor-is-member-of-antifa-facebook-group-that-organized-riots/;

 ⁴⁴ Chuck Ross, *Look Who Funds The Group Behind The Call To Arms At Milo's Berkeley Event*, The Daily
 Caller, February 3, 2017, available at: http://dailycaller.com/2017/02/03/look-who-funds-the-group-behind-the-call to-arms-at-milos-berkeley-event/.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 13 of 37

1	vandalize UC Berkeley and private property. In fact, in March 2017, one month after Plaintiff				
2	Robles was attacked, pro-Trump supporters organized in Civic Center Park in Berkeley to				
3	support peaceful free speech-particularly after the violent Milo Yiannopoulos protest. BAMN				
4	members arrived at the protest and instigated violent and hostile altercations, leading to several				
5	injuries and arrests. ⁴⁵ Indeed, on information and belief, Defendant Ian Dabney Miller ("Miller"),				
6	Defendant Raha Mirabdal ("Mirabdal"), and one or more of the unknown assailants who				
7	assaulted Plaintiff Robles are members of BAMN. This will be confirmed in discovery.				
8	Thus, although Sproul Plaza was the birthplace of what came to be known as the Free				
9	Speech Movement in 1964, regrettably, in 2017 it has become "its final resting place." ⁴⁶				
10					
11	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
12	1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §				
13	1331 (Federal Question Jurisdiction).				
14	2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §				
15	1367.				
16	3. Venue is proper pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1965 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), (3) in				
17	that Defendants reside here and are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District.				
18	III. PARTIES				
19	4. Plaintiff Kiara Robles ("Plaintiff Robles") is an individual, a natural person, who				
20	at all material times was a citizen and resident of California.				
21	5. Defendant the Regents of the University of California (the "Regents") is				
22	organized under the laws of the State of California, and entrusted with the responsibility of				
23	providing public education to students. The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley is				
24	providing public cadeadon to stadents. The regents of the Oniversity of Cantonna, Derkeley is				
25					
26	⁴⁵ Tracey Taylor, <i>Photos: Pro- and anti-Trump campaigners face off in Berkeley</i> , Berkeleyside, March 4, 2017,				
27					
28	2017, available at: http://washingtonmonthly.com/2017/02/02/uc-berkeley-and-the-frayed-free-speech-movement/.				

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 14 of 37

an organization acting under state authority, as the term is utilized in 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which
 classifies Civil Actions for Deprivation of Rights.

3 6. Defendant Janet Napolitano ("Napolitano") was, at all material times, the
4 President of the University of California. She is being sued in her personal capacity and in her
5 official capacity, where applicable.

7. Defendant Monica Lozano ("Lozano"), at all times material to this action, has
served as the Chair of the Board of Regents of the University of California, from March 2014 to
the present. She is being sued in her personal capacity and in her official capacity, where
applicable.

8. Defendant Nicholas Dirks ("Dirks"), at all times material to this action, has served
as Chancellor of the University of California, Berkeley, from June 2013 to the present. He is
being sued in his personal capacity and in his official capacity, where applicable.

13 9. Defendants the Regents, Napolitano, Lozano and Dirks are set forth hereafter as
14 the "Berkeley Defendants."

15 10. Defendant Jesse Arreguin ("Arreguin"), at all times material to this action, has
16 served as the Mayor of the city of Berkeley, California from December 2016 to the present. He is
17 being sued in his personal capacity and in his official capacity, where applicable.

18 11. At all relevant times, Defendants the Regents, Lorenz, Dirks, Napolitano, and
19 Arreguin have been and are now officers of the State of California. Their actions described
20 below constitute "state action" as that term has been defined by relevant case law, and they acted
21 under the color of state law, in doing the things alleged herein.

12. At all relevant times, Defendant the Regents has been and is now an agency of the
state government vested with authority to control, manage and administer the public facilities
within the University of California, Berkeley, located within Alameda County.

13. At all relevant times, Defendants have been and are now empowered by the other
Defendants to implement the policies that govern the conduct of persons affected and utilizing
the University of California, Berkeley, Irvine, San Diego, Los Angeles, and other campuses.

At all relevant times, each of the Defendants have been and are now the agent or
 employee of the remaining Defendants and each was acting within the course and scope of such
 agency or employment.

4 15. Defendant the Coalition to Defend Affirmative Action, Integration & Immigrant
5 Rights, and Fight for Equality By Any Means Necessary ("BAMN") is a radical, American
6 militant, left wing, political group that organizes demonstrations and litigation to achieve its
7 political agenda.

8 16. Defendant University of California Police Department ("UCPD") is organized
9 under the laws of the State of California, and entrusted with the responsibility of providing safety
10 to students and invitees. UCPD is an organization acting under state authority, as the term is
11 utilized in 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which classifies Civil Actions for Deprivation of Rights.

- 12 17. Defendant Margo Bennett ("Bennett"), at all times material to this action, has
 13 served as the Chief of Police of the University of California Police Department, Berkeley. She is
 14 being sued in her personal capacity and in her official capacity, where applicable.
- 15 18. Defendant City of Berkeley Police Department ("BPD") is organized under the
 16 laws of the State of California, and entrusted with the responsibility of providing public safety.
 17 BPD is an organization acting under state authority, as the term is utilized in 42 U.S.C. § 1983,
 18 which classifies Civil Actions for Deprivation of Rights.
- 19 19. Defendant Andrew Greenwood ("Greenwood"), at all times material to this
 20 action, has served as the Chief of Police of the City of Berkeley Police Department. He is being
 21 sued in his personal capacity and in his official capacity, where applicable.

22 20. Defendant Ian Dabney Miller ("Miller") is a former (and possibly current) UC
23 Berkeley employee that protested the UC Berkeley Milo Yiannopolous event. On information
24 and belief, Defendant Miller is a member of the radical, American militant, left wing, political
25 group BAMN. He is being sued in his personal capacity and in his official capacity, where
26 applicable.

27 21. Defendant Raha Mirabdal ("Mirabdal") is a former UC Davis employee that28 protested the UC Berkeley Milo Yiannopolous event. On information and belief, Defendant

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 16 of 37

Miller is a member of the radical, American militant, left wing, political group BAMN. She is
 being sued in her personal capacity.

3 22. Defendant John Burton ("Burton") is the former Chairman of the California
4 Democratic Party, serving as Chairman from April 2009 until May 2017.

5 23. Defendant Nancy Pelosi ("Pelosi"), at all times material to this action, has served
6 as Minority Leader of the United States House of Representatives, representing California's 12th
7 congressional district.

8 24. Defendant In the Name of Humanity, We REFUSE to Accept a Fascist America
9 ("Refuse Fascism") is a radical American, left wing, anti-Trump, non-profit organization that
10 organizes demonstrations and litigation to achieve its political agenda.

11 25. Defendant George Soros ("Soros") is the billionaire financier who has funded
12 numerous radical, left wing groups, including Defendant Refuse Fascism and Black Lives Matter
13 ("BLM").

14

15 IV. STANDING

16 26. Plaintiff Robles has standing to bring this action because she has been directly
17 affected and victimized by the unlawful conduct complained herein. Her injuries are
18 proximately related to the conduct of Defendants, jointly and severally.

19

²⁰ V. FACTS

21

Defendants Worked in Concert to Deprive Plaintiff of Her First Amendment Rights

22 27. Defendants, each and every one of them, have worked in concert to deny
23 numerous individuals who attended the Milo Yiannopolous event, including Plaintiff Robles,
24 their constitutional right to freedom of speech and freedom of assembly, as guaranteed by the
25 First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

26 28. The Berkeley Defendants, in concert with Arreguin, intentionally withheld police
27 protection of the UCPD and BPD for Plaintiff Robles, despite the fact that it was, at a minimum,

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 17 of 37

reasonably foreseeable that the Milo Yiannopolous event would erupt in violence from
 "protestors."

3 29. On information and belief, members of BAMN, of which Arreguin is a widely
4 publicized member, rioted at the Milo Yiannopolous event and viciously attacked attendees,
5 including Plaintiff Robles. On information and belief, BAMN acted under the direction of, and in
6 concert with, each and every named Defendant, including Arreguin.

7 30. On information and belief, Refuse Fascism organized the violent shutdown of the
8 Milo Yiannopoulos event.

9 31. On information and belief, Refuse Fascism receives funds from umbrella
10 companies and subsidiaries of Soros' Open Society Foundation, a network of foundations,
11 partners, and projects that funds Soros' political interests.

32. The group Refuse Facism is sponsored by Alliance for Global Justice ("AGJ").⁴⁷
Soros is a major backer of AGJ, as AGJ receives grants from Soros' foundation, the Open
Society Institute.⁴⁸ In addition, the Tides Foundation, a non-profit funded by Soros, contributes
money to AGJ.⁴⁹

33. UCPD and BPD, under the leadership and at the direction of Bennett and
Greenwood, chose to withhold their aid to attendees of the Milo Yiannopolous event – in concert
with each and every named Defendant - including Plaintiff Robles, despite the fact that they
could see attendees being viciously attacked by "protestors." Arreguin has been credibly accused
of implementing a stand down order to UC Berkeley police and the City of Berkeley Police
Department during the Milo Yiannopoulos riots, instructing law enforcement not to intervene
while pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopolous supporters were being violently attacked. ⁵⁰

- 23
- 24 25

26

27

⁴⁷ https://refusefascism.org/donate/

⁴⁸ *The Alliance for Global* Justice, Group Snoop, available at: http://www.groupsnoop.org/The+Alliance+for+Global+Justice.

- ⁴⁹ Chuck Ross, *supra* note 44.
- 28 ⁵⁰ *Id*.

34. As a result of each and every Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff Robles was deprived
 of her constitutional right to freedom of speech and freedom of assembly in support of Milo
 Yiannopolous.

Defendants Reasonably Foresaw That Violence Would Erupt at the Milo Yiannopolous Event

7 35. The Berkeley Defendants and Arreguin, at a minimum, reasonably foresaw that
8 violence would erupt at the Milo Yiannopolous event, as UC Berkley is no stranger to violent
9 protest.

36. For instance, on May 15, 1969, known as "Bloody Thursday", UC Berkeley was
home to one of the most violent student protests in U.S. History.⁵¹ At least 128 Berkeley
residents were hospitalized for injuries sustained during the riot. Among the injuries were a
police officer suffering a stab wound, an individual being permanently blinded, and another
individual being killed.

15 37. In 2011, violence erupted in UC Berkeley between campus police and students
 16 that gathered in UC Berkeley's Sproul Plaza to protest for the Occupy movement. ⁵²

38. UC Berkeley students further have a history of participating in some of the city's
worst riots and violent protests—among them, the 2014 protest of the death of Eric Garner,
which resulted in looting, vandalism, arson, and assault on Berkeley police officers.⁵³

39. Yet, UC Berkeley has hosted countless politically-charged rallies and protests in
the past, and the Berkeley Defendants and Arreguin have provided effective police presence
without incident.

23

4

5

6

40. UC Berkeley's Republicans have reported harassment and assaults by other UC Berkeley students with differing political opinions.⁵⁴

25

26

27

28

24

⁵¹ Chris Enloe, *supra* note 15.

⁵² Carly Schwartz, *supra* note 21.

⁵³ Jessie Lau and Melissa Wen, *supra* note 22.

⁵⁴ Stephen Frank, *supra* note 8.

41. For example, the UC Berkeley registered student organization, Berkeley College
 Republicans, have reported prior incidents where campus police officers merely "sat around"
 while the group was verbally and physically attacked.⁵⁵

4 42. Given the current political climate in the United States, the Berkeley Defendants
5 and Arreguin should have foreseen that the protest of a controversial conservative political figure
6 such as Milo Yiannopoulos would likely turn violent.

7 43. Since the 2016 presidential election, there have been several incidents where
8 President Trump supporters have been the target of vicious protestors.

9 44. Recently, in Seattle, a Black Lives Matter activist ranted to a large crowd
10 gathered in protest of the Executive Order Enhancing Public Safety in the Interior of the United
11 States, explicitly saying that "...we need to start killing people."⁵⁶ She went on to expressly
12 threaten and incite death to President Donald Trump, saying, "First off, we need to start killing
13 the White House. The White House must die. The White House, your fucking White House,
14 your fucking Presidents, they must go! Fuck the White House."⁵⁷

45. Recently, there have been protests at U.S. airports where President Trump
supporters were violently attacked by those protesting the President's immigration policies.⁵⁸

46. Furthermore, the Berkley Defendants and Arreguin should have been fully
prepared for violence to break out at the Milo Yiannopoulos event based on assaults that have
occurred at recent Milo Yiannopoulos speaking engagements.

47. On January 13, 2017, chaotic protests preceding a Milo Yiannopoulos speaking
event at UC Davis led to the cancellation of the speech after school groups and university police
determined that it was unsafe to continue the event.⁵⁹

23

48. On January 20, 2017, a man was shot outside a University of Washington hall prior to another planned Milo Yiannopoulos speaking engagement.⁶⁰

25

26

28

24

⁵⁶ Justin Caruso, *supra* note 27.

27 5^{7} *Id.* 58 Cl

⁵⁵ Id.

⁵⁸ Chase Stephens, *supra* note 29.

⁵⁹ Ben Poston and Shelby Grad, *supra* note 25.

49. During the University of Washington event, masked protestors assaulted both
 police and civilians with weapons.⁶¹

50. Despite all of this, the Berkeley Defendants and Arreguin intentionally withheld police support—in concert with each and every named Defendant—at the Milo Yiannopoulos event that Plaintiff Robles attended, as Milo Yiannopoulos's conservative viewpoint conflicts with the radical, leftist viewpoint shared by the Berkeley Defendants and Arreguin and the majority of the UC Berkeley student body and administration.

8 51. Anti-Trump sentiment is commonly supported and furthered by key figures within
9 the Democratic party, such as each and every Defendant named herein.

10 52. On May 20, 2017, Burton, the former Chairman of California Democratic party
11 encouraged and furthered violent anti-Trump behavior during the Democratic California State
12 convention held in Sacramento, California.

13 53. Indeed, Burton made obscene gestures, holding up his middle fingers while
14 encouraging the crowd to yell "Fuck Donald Trump!"

15 54. Pelosi, as House minority leader, showed and broadcast her approval of this
16 vehement sentiment and violent attitude toward Trump supporters by smiling and laughing as a
17 reaction to Burton's behavior.

18 55. The actions of these high-ranking officials within the Democratic party endorses,
19 incites, and furthers violence against Trump supporters, and encourages members of the
20 Democratic party to attack those that choose to support the President.

56. This places citizens, like Plaintiff Robles, in danger and fear of her life, as she is
more likely to be attacked when this incitement to violence is fostered by high-ranking
Democrats such as Burton and Pelosi.

- 24 ///
- 25 ///
- 26
- 27 60 Rick Anderson, *supra* note 23.
- 28 ⁶¹ *Id.*

1

Facts Pertaining Directly to Plaintiff Robles' Injuries

2 57. On February 1, 2017, Plaintiff Robles planned to attend a speech by Milo
3 Yiannopoulos, a media personality and political commentator, hosted on UC Berkeley campus.

4 58. Mr. Yiannopoulos was invited to speak by the Berkeley College Republicans,
5 which is a UC Berkeley Registered Student Organization ("RSO").

6 59. Plaintiff Robles purchased a ticket to the event and the proceeds of the ticket sale
7 went to the Berkeley College Republicans.

8 60. By purchasing a ticket to an event organized by a UC Berkeley RSO and
9 approved by UC Berkeley, Plaintiff Robles became an invitee by UC Berkeley. Indeed, UC
10 Berkeley, as the inviter, owed a duty to Plaintiff Robles to provide a safe premise for their event.

61. Over 1,500 "protestors" gathered at Sproul Plaza to picket the event. Several
people were intentionally and violently attacked by both masked and unmasked assailants, and
the UC Berkeley campus incurred over \$100,000 worth of damage.

Plaintiff Robles was being interviewed by news station KGO-TV about her
thoughts on freedom of speech versus "hate speech" when she was approached by several
protestors that began to yell that she was a "fascist." The "protestors" surrounded Plaintiff
Robles combatively.

18 63. Soon thereafter, an unknown assailant sprayed Plaintiff Robles in the face with
19 painful pepper spray. Shortly after Plaintiff Robles was sprayed with pepper spray, Plaintiff
20 Robles was again sprayed in the face by an unknown assailant with bear mace, which contains a
21 much higher concentration of harmful substances than standard pepper spray.

64. On information and belief, the unknown assailants who sprayed Plaintiff Robles
in the face with pepper spray and bear mace were members of BAMN, and carried out the
battery on Plaintiff Robles at the direction of BAMN and in concert with each and every
Defendant.

26 65. At the time of this attack, there was no police officers close enough to Plaintiff
27 Robles to protect her from her assaulter. As a result, the attackers escaped and there was no one
28 to immediately aid Plaintiff Robles.

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 22 of 37

1	66. Instead, witnesses saw nearly 100 police officers and SWAT officers waiting
2	inside the Student Union Building while the attacks occurred, watching the protestors turn
3	violent through a glass window.

4 67. UCPD and BPD could see the attacks occurring outside while they waited in the
5 Student Union building, yet did not act to protect any of the victims, including Plaintiff Robles.

6 68. Soon after the first incident, Plaintiff Robles and others were again physically
7 attacked by protestors. Miller, among others, struck Plaintiff Robles in the face and body with
8 flagpoles until Plaintiff Robles was forced to escape by jumping over a metal barrier.

9

69. Miller is a former, and possibly current, UC Berkeley employee.⁶²

10 70. On information and belief, Miller is a member of the radical, American militant,
11 left wing, political group BAMN, and carried out the battery on Plaintiff Robles at the direction
12 of BAMN and in concert with each and every Defendant.

13 71. Miller admitted that he was present at the Milo Yiannopoulos event in an
14 interview with the New York Daily News.⁶³

15 72. Miller wore a mask to hide his identity and wielded a wooden weapon during the
16 Milo Yiannopoulos event.⁶⁴ He was identified later by the particular tattoos on his neck.⁶⁵

17 73. On the day of the UC Berkeley riot, Miller boasted on his social media account
18 that he physically beat Trump supporters at the Milo Yiannopoulos event.⁶⁶

- 19 74. Miller posted a photo of one his incapacitated victims on his social media
 20 account.⁶⁷
- 21

22

25

28

⁶² University of California Data Analysis - Browse UC Salary Data, available at: http://ucpay.globl.org/index.php?campus=BERKELEY&name=MILLER+ +IAN+DABNEY

24 http://www.nydailynews.com/news/national/protests-prompt-cancellation-calif-college-wing-event-article-1.2962069.

⁶⁴ https://twitter.com/chiIIum/status/827655032665473024

⁶⁵ Id.

⁶⁷ Id.

^{23 &}lt;sup>63</sup> Chris Sommerfeldt, *Violent protests at Berkeley college prompt cancellation of speech by right-wing writer Milo Yiannopoulos*, New York Daily News, February 2, 2017, available at:

1 75. Mirabdal was also present at the Milo Yiannopoulos event.⁶⁸ 2 76. On information and belief, Mirabdal is a member of the radical, American 3 militant, left wing, political group BAMN, and carried out the assault on Plaintiff Robles at the direction of BAMN and in concert with each and every Defendant. 4 After Mirabdal and several unknown assailants surrounded Plaintiff Robles 5 77. 6 combatively, Mirabdal shined a flashlight aggressively in Plaintiff Robles' face, blinding 7 Plaintiff Robles and placing her in fear and apprehension of harm. 8 78. Mirabdal further beat peaceful Milo Yiannopoulos supporters with a wooden sign 9 post during the UC Berkeley riot.⁶⁹ 10 79 Once again, no police officers from either UCPD or BPD came to assist Plaintiff 11 Robles, nor to apprehend Miller, Mirabdal, or any of her attackers. 12 13 Defendants Acted in Concert Because They Harbor Viewpoints Different from Milo 14 **Yiannopoulos and His Supporters** 15 80. The Berkley Defendants and Arreguin intentionally withheld the police support of 16 UCPD and BPD-in concert with each and every named Defendant-from pro-President 17 Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees at an event which it knew could likely become hostile 18 and violent, because these pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees represented 19 political beliefs that went against their own radical, leftist beliefs. 20 81. Dirks, the current Chancellor of UC Berkeley, has called Milo Yiannopoulos "a 21 troll and provocateur who uses odious behavior in part to 'entertain,' but also to deflect any 22 serious engagement with ideas."70 82. 23 Even now, after Plaintiff Robles was violently assaulted at the Milo Yiannopolous 24 event, the Berkeley Defendants again have refused to provide a "proper time and venue" for 25 conservative pundit Ann Coulter to speak at UC Berkeley.⁷¹ 26 ⁶⁸ https://pbs.twimg.com/media/C3vodrJWIAAYQ0h.jpg ⁶⁹ https://www.instagram.com/p/BOAFESPj1aM/ 27 ⁷⁰ Patrick May, UC Berkeley riot raises questions about free speech, The Mercury News, February 2, 2017, available at: http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/02/02/uc-berkeley-riot-raise-questions-about-free-speech/. 28

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 24 of 37

83. Ann Coulter stated on <u>The Sean Hannity Show</u>, "You cannot impose arbitrary and
 harassing restrictions on the exercise of a constitutional right....None of this has to do with
 security."⁷²

4 84. Indeed, the Berkeley Defendants, on information and belief, cancelled Ann
5 Coulter's scheduled speech because they disagree with her politically conservative viewpoints, in
6 furtherance of their pattern and practice of squashing free speech that they disagree with.

7 85. The Berkeley Defendants disingenuously offered Ann Coulter a speaking time on
8 May 2, during Dead Week, where there are no classes and students are studying for final
9 exams.⁷³

10 86. Here, the Berkley Defendants' and Arreguin's refusal to provide police support—
11 in concert with each and every named Defendant—to the pro-Milo Yiannopoulos students and
12 invitees who were violently assaulted was effected in concert with Berkeley Mayor Jesse
13 Arreguin.

14 87. Arreguin has made his disdain for Milo Yiannopolous clear, and he went so far as
15 to incite violence by labeling Yiannopolous as a "white nationalist" before he was set to speak at
16 UC Berkeley.⁷⁴

17 88. Arreguin is a public member of BAMN, a radical, American militant, left wing,
18 political group that organizes demonstrations and litigation to achieve its political agenda.⁷⁵
19 Arreguin openly broadcasts his membership to the violent organization.⁷⁶

89. Arreguin implemented a stand-down order to UCPD and BPD during the Milo
Yiannopoulos riots, instructing law enforcement not to intervene while pro-President Trump/proMilo Yiannopolous supporters, including Plaintiff Robles, were being violently attacked.⁷⁷

23

24

25

- ⁷¹ UC Berkeley Students Threated to Sue Over Ann Coulter Visit, Fox News, Apr. 23, 2017, available at: http://www.foxnews.com/us/2017/04/23/uc-berkeley-students-threaten-to-sue-over-ann-coulter-visit.html
- ⁷² Id. ⁷³ Id.
 - ⁷⁴ Tom Ciccotta, *supra* note 32.
- 27 75 supra note 33.
- $28 \qquad ^{76} \text{ Justin Caruso, } supra \text{ note } 30.$

1	90. BAMN has a history of arranging violent "protests," which have been classified					
2	as "low-level terrorism" by the Department of Defense. ⁷⁸					
3	91. For instance, in 2016, BAMN led a protest in Sacramento that resulted in ten					
4	people being hospitalized with stab wounds. ⁷⁹					
5	92. On information and belief, BAMN, acting under the direction of, and in concert					
6	with, each and every named Defendant herein, including Arreguin, carried out the physical acts					
7	of violence that occurred during the Milo Yiannopoulos event that led to Plaintiff Robles'					
8	injuries. ⁸⁰ On information and belief, one or more of the unknown assailants of Plaintiff Robles					
9	are members of BAMN, fulfilling BAMN's violent, terrorist agenda.					
10	93. Thus, each and every Defendant worked in concert to organize and cultivate the					
11	riots that led to Plaintiff Robles injuries. Arreguin and BAMN organized the violent riots,					
12	couched as "protests," and they worked in concert with the Berkeley Defendants, BPD, and					
13	UCPD to withhold police support to the attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos who were brutally					
14	attacked by BAMN members, including, but not limited to, Miller and Mirabdal.					
15	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION					
15 16	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 –					
16	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY					
16 17	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police					
16 17 18	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano,					
16 17 18 19	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10					
16 17 18 19 20	 VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10 94. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the 					
16 17 18 19 20 21	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10 94. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and					
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10 94. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the 					
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10 94. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and					
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10 94. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.					
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION: FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND FREEDOM OF ASSEMBLY Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 1-10 94. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.					

1 95. Defendants, while acting under the color of state law, deprived Plaintiff Robles of 2 her right to freely exercise her freedom of speech and freedom of assembly, rights protected by 3 federal law and the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

96. 4 Defendants' actions and inactions were intentional and were designed to prevent 5 individuals, including Plaintiff Robles, from freely expressing their political views and their 6 freedom of assembly, based on their pro-President Trump political beliefs and their support of 7 Milo Yiannopoulos.

97. 8 Defendants had the resources and manpower available to provide a safe venue for 9 pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees during the Milo Yiannopoulos speech. 10 UC Berkeley, under the leadership of The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, and Napolitano, has hosted 11 countless politically-charged rallies and protests in the past, and provided effective police 12 presence at said rallies and protests without incident.

13 98. Defendants willfully withheld police officers to protect pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees at an event which it knew was to most likely become hostile and 14 15 violent, because these pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees represented 16 political beliefs that went against the popular sentiment of Defendants and most UC Berkeley's 17 administration and students.

99. 18 On information and belief, BAMN, acting under the direction of the other named 19 Defendants, including but not limited to Arreguin – a widely publicized member of BAMN – 20 rioted and violently assaulted attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event, including Plaintiff 21 Robles, to prevent Plaintiff Robles and others present from peacefully assembling and supporting 22 Milo Yiannopoulos.

23

100. Defendants' actions, as described above, have interfered with Plaintiff' Robles' 24 free exercise of speech, in violation of the United States Constitution, in that Defendants' refusal 25 to adequately secure and monitor a known hostile campus environment for pro-President 26 Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees prohibits Plaintiff Robles from the free exercise of her 27 speech and political beliefs.

1 101. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff Robles suffered 2 physical injuries and emotional distress, accompanied by various physical symptoms, including, 3 but not limited to, sleeplessness, nervousness, and extreme anxiety. 4 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 5 VIOLATION OF FEDERALLY PROTECTED RIGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. §1983 – 6 **EQUAL PROTECTION** 7 Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, University of California Police 8 Department, City of Berkeley Police Department, Nancy Pelosi, John Burton, and John Does 9 1 - 1010 102. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the 11 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and 12 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length. 13 Plaintiff Robles is a gay woman, a member of an identifiable class for equal 103. 14 protection purposes. 15 104. Defendants, while acting under the color of state law, intentionally discriminated 16 against Plaintiff Robles on the basis of her sexual orientation by withholding police protection at 17 the Milo Yiannopolous event, that they, at a minimum, reasonably foresaw would erupt in 18 violence. 19 105. Defendants chose to withhold police protection at the Milo Yiannopolous event 20 because Milo Yiannopolous and a large number of his supporters, including Plaintiff Robles, are 21 gay. 22 106. Defendants failed to enforce UC Berkeley's anti-discrimination policies to 23 prevent physical and emotional harm to Plaintiff Robles. Defendants' actions and inactions were 24 intentional and placed Plaintiff Robles in physical danger. 25 107. Defendants had the resources and manpower available to provide a safe venue for 26 pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos attendees during the Milo Yiannopoulos speech. 27 28

1	108. UC Berkeley, under the leadership of The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, and					
2	Napolitano, has hosted countless politically-charged rallies and protests in the past, and provided					
3	effective police presence at said rallies and protests without incident.					
4	109. Defendants chose not to utilize their police officers to protect Plaintiff Robles at					
5	an event which they knew was to most likely become hostile and violent, because Milo					
6	Yiannopoulos and many of his supporters, including Plaintiff, are gay.					
7	110. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff Robles suffered					
8	physical injuries and emotional distress, accompanied by various physical symptoms, including,					
9	but not limited to, sleeplessness, nervousness, and extreme anxiety.					
10						
11	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION					
12	NEGLIGENCE					
13	Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano,					
14	Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, and John Does 1-10					
15	111. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the					
16	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and					
17	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.					
18	112. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin owed a duty of care to					
19	Plaintiff Robles – a UC Berkeley invitee – to provide a safe place to assemble and exercise her					
20	First Amendment rights.					
21	113. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin reasonably foresaw that					
22	violence was likely to erupt at the Milo Yiannopoulos event based on the current political					
23	climate, UC Berkeley's history of violent protests, and other violent protests at similar events.					
24	114. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin breached their duty of care					
25	to Plaintiff Robles by withholding effective police protection for Plaintiff Robles and other					
26	attendees of UC Berkeley's event.					
27						
28						
	28					
I						

1	115. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin breached their duty of care					
2	to Plaintiff Robles by ordering campus police in the vicinity of the attacks not to intervene and					
3	defend Plaintiff and other attendees that were being attacked.					
4	116. The Regents', Lozano's, Dirks', Napolitano's, and Arreguin's negligence in					
5	ordering campus police not to intervene and defend Plaintiff Robles was a substantial factor and					
6	proximate cause of Plaintiff Robles' mental and physical injuries incurred from violent protestors					
7	beating her with flag poles and flashlights and spraying pepper spray and bear mace in her face.					
8						
9	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION					
10	GROSS NEGLIGENCE					
11	Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Nanolitano, Jasso Amonuin, and John Doos 1, 10					
12	Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, Jesse Arreguin, and John Does 1-10					
13	117. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the					
14	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and					
15	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.					
16	118. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin owed a duty of care to					
17	Plaintiff Robles – a UC Berkeley invitee – to provide a safe place to assemble and exercise her					
18	First Amendment rights.					
19	119. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin reasonably foresaw that					
20	violence was likely to erupt at the Milo Yiannopoulos event based on the current political					
21	climate, UC Berkeley's history of violent protests, and other violent protests at similar events.					
22	120. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin breached their duty of care					
23	to Plaintiff Robles by consciously and maliciously withholding effective police protection for					
24	Plaintiff Robles and other attendees of UC Berkeley's event.					
25	121. The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, Napolitano, and Arreguin breached their duty of care					
26	to Plaintiff Robles by consciously and maliciously ordering campus police in the vicinity of the					
27	attacks not to intervene and defend Plaintiff Robles and other attendees that were being attacked.					
28						
	29					

1 122. The Regents,' Lozano's, Dirks', Napolitano's, and Arreguin's gross negligence in 2 consciously and maliciously ordering campus police not to intervene and defend Plaintiff Robles 3 was a substantial factor and proximate cause of Plaintiff Robles's mental and physical injuries 4 incurred from violent protestors beating her with flag poles and flashlights and spraying pepper 5 spray and bear mace in her face. 6 7 FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION 8 PREMISES LIABILITY 9 Against Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley, Monica Lozano, Nicholas Dirks, Janet Napolitano, and John Does 1-10 10 11 123. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the 12 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and 13 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length. 14 The Regents, Lozano, Dirks, and Napolitano controlled the UC Berkeley property 124. 15 and were negligent in the use or maintenance of the property by failing to adequately secure and 16 monitor a known hostile campus environment for pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos 17 attendees. 18 125. Plaintiff Robles' injuries were directly and proximately caused by the Regents', 19 Lozano's, Dirks', and Napolitano's negligent conduct. 20 21 SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION 22 **NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS** 23 Against All Defendants 24 126. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the 25 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and 26 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length. 27 28

1	127. Defendants were negligent in their failure to properly maintain a safe venue for				
2	attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event hosted on UC Berkeley campus, when they should				
3	have reasonably anticipated attacks from protestors based on the foregoing numerous factors				
4	detailed in this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the violent outcome of previous Milo				
5	Yiannopoulos speaking engagements.				
6	128. Plaintiff Robles suffered severe emotional distress, and Defendants' negligence in				
7	failing to properly maintain a safe venue for attendees of the Milo Yiannopoulos event was a				
8	substantial factor in and directly and proximately caused Plaintiff's serious emotional distress				
9	that resulted from attacks from violent protestors at the Milo Yiannopoulos event.				
10					
11	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
12	INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS				
13	Against All Defendants				
14					
15	129. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the				
16	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and				
17	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.				
18	///				
19	130. Defendants' intentional decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President				
20	Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event, based on the				
21	pro-President Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos supporters' political beliefs, were the result of				
22	intentional, extreme, and outrageous conduct that exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency.				
23	131. Defendants intended to inflict severe emotional distress and mental anguish on				
24	Plaintiff Robles with their decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President Trump/pro-				
25	Milo Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event, even through UCPD and				
26	BPD witnessed firsthand rioters attacking Milo Yiannopoulos supporters.				
27	132. Plaintiff Robles suffered severe emotional distress directly and proximately				
28	caused by Defendants' intentional decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President				
	21				

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 32 of 37

Trump/pro-Milo Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event, and Defendants'
 intentional decision to withhold proper protection for pro-President Trump/pro-Milo
 Yiannopoulos supporters during the Milo Yiannopoulos event was a substantial factor in causing
 Plaintiff Robles' emotional distress.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

ASSAULT

Against Defendants Ian Dabney Miller, Raha Mirabdal, BAMN, Refuse Fascism, Soros, and John Does 1-10

10 133. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

13 134. Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and other assailants (to be named in discovery) placed
14 Plaintiff Robles in apprehension of an imminent harmful or offensive contact by, among other
15 things, aggressively shining a flashlight directly into her eyes so as to blind her after surrounding
16 her in a combative manner.

17 135. Plaintiff Robles did not consent to Miller's, Mirabdal's, BAMN's, and other
18 assailants' (to be named in discovery) conduct described in the foregoing paragraphs.

19 136. As a direct and proximate result of Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and other assailants'
20 (to be named in discovery) wrongful conduct, Plaintiff Robles suffered conscious pain, suffering,
21 severe emotional distress and the fear of imminent serious bodily injury or death, and other
22 mental and physical injuries.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BATTERY

 Against Defendants Ian Dabney Miller, Raha Mirabdal, BAMN, Refuse Fascism, Soros, and John Does 1-10

28

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

137. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
 entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
 effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

138. Plaintiff Robles suffered harmful, offensive bodily contact from Miller, Mirabdal,
BAMN, and other assailants' (to be named in discovery) actions of beating Plaintiff's face and
body with flag poles and flashlights and spraying her with pepper spray and bear mace, from
which Plaintiff sustained injuries.

8 139. Refuse Fascism receives funds from umbrella companies and subsidiaries of
9 Soros' Open Society Foundation, a network of foundations, partners, and projects that funds
10 Soros' political interests.

11 140. Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and other assailants to be named in discovery beat
12 Plaintiff Robles' face and body with flag poles and flashlights and sprayed her with pepper spray
13 and bear mace with the intent to harm and injure her.

14 141. Plaintiff Robles did not consent to Miller's, Mirabdal's, BAMN's, and other
15 assailants' (to be named in discovery) attacks with pepper spray, bear mace, flag poles, and
16 flashlight on her face and body, and she was harmed and injured by Defendant's attacks.

17 142. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful attacks on Plaintiff Robles, by
18 Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and other assailants (to be named in discovery), Plaintiff suffered
19 conscious pain, suffering, severe emotional distress and the fear of imminent, serious bodily
20 injury or death, and other mental and physical injuries.

21 22

23

24

25

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of State Protected Right Under Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1 – Bane Act Against All Defendants

143. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the
entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and
effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.

1	144. Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and unknown assailants, acting in concert with each					
2	and every Defendant, intentionally interfered with Plaintiff Robles' constitutionally protected					
3	right to freedom of speech and freedom of assembly by threatening and committing violent acts.					
4	145. Plaintiff Robles reasonably believed that if she exercised her rights to freedom of					
5	speech and freedom of assembly, Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and unknown assailants, acting in					
6	concert with each and every Defendant, would commit violence against her.					
7	146. Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and unknown assailants, acting in concert with each					
8	and every Defendant, injured Plaintiff Robles to prevent her from exercising her rights to					
9	freedom of speech and freedom of assembly and/or retaliate against Plaintiff Robles for having					
10	exercised her rights to freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.					
11	147. Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and unknown assailants, acting in concert with each					
12	and every Defendant, threatened and committed violent acts against Plaintiff Robles because of					
13	Plaintiff Robles' political affiliation and her sexual orientation.					
14	148. Plaintiff Robles was harmed, and Miller, Mirabdal, BAMN, and unknown					
15	assailants' conduct, in concert with each and every Defendant, was a substantial factor in causing					
16	5 Plaintiff Robles' harm.					
17	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION					
18	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF					
19	Against Defendants Bennett, Greenwood, UCPD, and BPD, and John Does 1-10					
20						
21	149. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the					
22	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and					
23	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.					
24	150. As set forth previously in this Complaint, UCPD and BPD police officers sat by					
25	idly, watching as Plaintiff Robles and others were viciously attacked by "protestors" during the					
26	Milo Yiannopolous event.					
27						
28						
	34					

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 35 of 37

1	151. Instead of rendering assistance, nearly 100 UCPD and BPD police officers waited				
2	in the Student Union building, within eyesight of the violence happening outside, watching the				
3	protestors become more belligerent.				
4	152. As a result of the inaction of UCPD and BPD officers, the assailants who attacke				
5	Plaintiff Robles and others were not apprehended and Plaintiff Robles and others did not receive				
6	immediate assistance for their injuries.				
7	153. At a minimum, BPD and UCPD officers demonstrated a lack of training in how to				
8	handle "riots" like the one at the Milo Yiannopoulos event.				
9	154. Plaintiff Robles and others will suffer irreparable harm if UCPD and BPD officers				
10	do not receive adequate training on how to handle "riot" situations, like the one at the Milo				
11	Yiannopolous event, including, but not limited to, possible loss of life.				
12	155. Plaintiff Robles has no adequate remedy at law.				
13	156. UCPD and BPD would not be harmed by requiring its officers to undergo training				
14	on how to handle "riot" situations.				
15	157. Plaintiff Robles respectfully requests that this Court order preliminary and				
16	permanent injunctive relief requiring UCPD and BPD officers to undergo proper training on how				
17	to handle "riot" situations, and requiring UCPD and BPD officers to uphold their oath to serve				
18	and protect all victims, regardless of their political beliefs or sexual orientation.				
19	TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION				
20	ASSAULT				
21	Against Defendants John Burton and Nancy Pelosi, and John Does 1-10				
22					
23	158. Plaintiff Robles repeats and re-alleges all of the previous allegations of the				
24	entirety of this Complaint, including, but not limited to, the Introduction, with the same force and				
25	effect, as if fully set forth herein again at length.				
26	159. Burton and Pelosi, as leaders of the Democratic party, placed Plaintiff Robles in				
27	apprehension of an imminent harmful or offensive contact by, among other things, advocating				
28	violence toward President Trump supporters.				

Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW Document 1 Filed 06/05/17 Page 36 of 37

1	160. During a Democratic state convention in Sacramento, California, Burton made				
2	obscene gestures, holding up his middle fingers while encouraging the crowd to yell "Fuck				
3	Donald Trump!"				
4	161. Pelosi, as House Minority Leader, showed her approval of this vehement				
5	sentiment and violent attitude toward Trump supporters by smiling and laughing as a reaction to				
6	Burton's behavior.				
7	162. Plaintiff Robles did not consent to Burton or Pelosi's conduct described in the				
8	foregoing paragraphs.				
9	163. As a direct and proximate result of Burton and Pelosi's wrongful conduct,				
10	Plaintiff Robles, as an open Trump supporter, suffered conscious pain, suffering, severe				
11	emotional distress and the fear of imminent, serious bodily injury or death, and other mental and				
12	physical injuries.				
13	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
14	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Robles prays for relief and judgment against Defendants,				
15	jointly and severally, as follows:				
16	(a) For general (non-economic), special (economic), actual and compensatory				
17	damages in excess of \$3,000,000;				
18	(b) For punitive damages in excess of \$20,000,000;				
19	(c) For equitable, declaratory, and injunctive relief as the Court deems proper; and				
20	(d) For such other relief as the court may deem just and proper.				
21					
22	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
23	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all counts, as to all issues so triable.				
24					
25	DATED: June 5, 2017 Respectfully submitted,				
26					
27	Larry Klayman, Esq. Freedom Watch, Inc.				
28	2020 Pennsylvania Ave N.W. #345 Washington, D.C. 20006				
	36				
	Complaint				

l

	Case 4:17-cv-03235-CW	Document 1	Filed 06/05/17	Page 37 of 37
1			Tel: (561) : Pro Hac Vi	558-5336 ice to be Filed
2			/s/ Michael	D. Kolodzi
3			Michael D.	Kolodzi, Esq.
4			Beverly Hi	Camden Drive, Suite 600 Ils, California 90210
5			Telephone: Facsimile:	310.279.5212 866.571.6094
6				@mdklawfirm.com
7				
8			Attorneys f KIARA RO	for Plaintiff DBLES
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			37	
	- <u></u>		וכ	COMPLAINT