documents requested in the Subpoena Duces Tecum issued on January 15, 2014. Plaintiff respectfully requests that this motion be transferred to the Southern District of Florida pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P Rule 45. Plaintiff further respectfully requests that Tatiz be ordered to sit for a deposition and to produce the requested documents within five days from the Court's order. Plaintiff also requests that he be awarded his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with this Motion in the amount of at least \$2,000.00.

This motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to Local Rule 7-3. The parties were unable to reach agreement on the issues raised in the instant motion.

Plaintiff respectfully requests expedited handling of this motion.

Dated: March 4, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Larry Klayman

Plaintiff in Pro Se

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF EXPEDITED MOTION TO COMPEL

I. HISTORY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This action arises from a series of events that took place after Plaintiff left Judicial Watch, a non-profit organization he had founded, and subsequently gained notoriety and national recognition for his high profile lawsuits through his nonprofit organization, Freedom Watch. See Exhibit A - Complaint ("Compl.") ¶ 1. Affidavit of Larry Klayman; Exhibit F. Consequently, Defendants, all agents of Judicial Watch, acting in concert with each other, had set out to willfully and maliciously harm Plaintiff and his reputation, as well as harm his successful law practice. Compl. ¶ 15. Specifically, Defendants Thomas Fitton ("Fitton"), Paul Orfanedes ("Orfanedes"), Constance Ruffley ("Ruffley), and Christopher Farrell ("Farrell"), directly and through other agents of Judicial Watch, defamed, disparaged, and denigrated Plaintiff in order to discredit him and irreparably damage Plaintiff's reputation. In addition, the Defendants tortuously interfered with Plaintiff's legal practice in this district and caused him severe emotional distress. Compl. ¶ 36.

Ruffley, an Office Administrator and Representative of Judicial Watch, engaged in a conversation and published with "Dr. Orly Taitz, Esquire" ("Taitz") in or around February 22, 2012, while attending an event. Compl. ¶ 12-13 Taitz is widely known as the founder of the "Defend Our Freedom Foundation" and

maintains the popular self-proclaimed website, "The World's Leading Obama Eligibility Website." Compl. ¶ 13. Klayman had made it known that he had intended to file lawsuits in Florida challenging the eligibility of Barack Obama to run for re-election. Compl. ¶ 14. During Ruffley's conversation with Taitz, Ruffley intentionally conveyed a false and defamatory statement about Plaintiff, alleging that Plaintiff had been "convicted" of a crime for not paying child support. ¶ 15.

Specifically, as pled in the Complaint, this false statement was conveyed to Taitz with the intention and expectation that Taitz would further spread the malicious remark by publishing it on her popular and widely viewed website.

Compl. ¶ 13. Moreover, as evidenced by the facts and circumstances, Defendants not only anticipated that Taitz would publish the false statement on her popular website, but that said publication would perpetuate further dissemination and publication of the false statement in hopes that it would be widely circulated in this district, nationally, and worldwide. Compl. ¶ 13.

Defendants' ploy was successful as the false and malicious statement was, in fact, published on Taitz' website and was, as predicted, further disseminated,

¹ Plaintiff had a valid defense for not paying the child support since his obligation was nullified under Virginia law as a result of extreme circumstances, in which he was completely and unlawfully denied access to his children. *Hartman v. Hartman*, 33 Vir. Cir. 373. Moreover, Plaintiff has never been convicted of any crime in any circumstance.

nationally and worldwide, directly reaching and effecting Plaintiff in this district. Compl. ¶ 13. More specifically, as a direct result of Defendants' intentional conduct, the false statements were disseminated in and circulated in this district where Plaintiff resides and conducts business as a lawyer licensed to practice in Florida, thus, intentionally harming Plaintiff personally and professionally and his standing in his community. Compl. ¶ 20.

As a result of the false statement, accusing Plaintiff of committing a crime, and the resulting reputational harm, Defendants' not only subjected Plaintiff to ridicule, humiliation, and embarrassment, but also caused Plaintiff severe emotional distress, which effected Plaintiff both professionally and personally. ² Compl. ¶ 20. In fact, Defendants' acts directly impacted Plaintiff's business in the legal profession. Specifically, Defendants intentionally and unjustifiably interfered with a contractual relationship between Plaintiff and a potential client by spreading per se defamatory statements about Plaintiff, damaging his reputation, and consequently, preventing Plaintiff from engaging in legal representation. Compl. ¶ 29.

II. ARGUMENT

Rule 45(c)(2)(B)(i), Fed.R.Civ.P., provides that the party serving a subpoena

² Defendants' conduct constitutes defamation by per se, as the false statement provides the reader the false and misleading impression that Plaintiff committed and/or has been convicted of a crime.

12

15

21

24

25

26

27 28 may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection at any time on notice to the commanded person. Plaintiff has complied with all procedural and substantive requirements for this Motion to be granted.

Orly Taitz is a witness to the libelous statements of Defendant Judicial Watch. Plaintiff made several attempts to serve Taitz beginning with the week of January 6, 2014. Plaintiff was told that Taitz was out of town, and it was apparent that Taitz was likely evading service of process. On January 20, 2014 Taitz was personally served at her business address with a Subpoena Duces Tecum requiring Tatiz to appear and testify at a deposition scheduled for January 30, 2014. See Exhibit B. A proof of service was completed and is attached as Exhibit C. Taitz has stated that she is refusing to comply with the Subpoena and will not be appearing for the deposition and has not filed an objection to the Subpoena with this Court or within the Southern District of Florida. Further, it has been fourteen (14) days since Taitz has been served with the subpoena and Taitz has not filed an objection to the Subpoena with this Court and has thus waived all objections to the subpoena.

III. THIS MOTION SHOULD RESPECTFULLY BE TRANSFERRED TO THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

FRCP Rule 45 allows for this subpoena-related motion to be transferred. Specifically, Rule 45(f) provides:

Transferring a Subpoena-Related Motion. When the court where

compliance is required did not issue the subpoena, it may transfer a motion under this rule to the issuing court if the person subject to the subpoena consents or if the court finds exceptional circumstances. Then, if the attorney for a person subject to a subpoena is authorized to practice in the court where the motion was made, the attorney may file papers and appear on the motion as an officer of the issuing court. To enforce its order, the issuing court may transfer the order to the court where the motion was made.

FRCP 45(f); See also FTC v. A+ Fin. Ctr., LLC, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 172472 (S.D. Ohio Dec. 5, 2013)("Rule 45, as amended, provides an explicit mechanism for transferring such motions to other courts when warranted by the circumstances.")

This motion arises out of a lawsuit pending before the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. Plaintiff is a citizen of Florida, and the Defendant has an office located in Florida and has already hired Florida counsel who is representing it in these proceedings. In addition, there is currently a hearing scheduled in front of Magistrate Andrea M. Simonton on March 5, 2014 within the Southern District of Florida to hear discovery related matters. In order to save judicial resources, and for the convenience of the parties, this matter should respectfully be transferred back to the Southern District of Florida.

IV. CONCLUSION

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court expeditiously transfer this motion to the Southern District of Florida. Plaintiff further respectfully requests

Case 2:14-cv-01602-ABC-AS Document 1 Filed 03/04/14 Page 9 of 13 Page ID #:9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been a	assigned to District J	udge <u>Audı</u>	rey B. Collins	and the assigned
Magistrate Judge is	Alka Sagar	•		
The case n	number on all docum	ents filed with the Cou	art should read as	follows:
	CV1	4-1602-ABC(ASx)		
Pursuant to Genera California, the Magistrate		United States District on the contract of the		
All discovery relate	d motions should be	noticed on the calenda	ar of the Magistra	te Judge.
		Clerk,	U. S. District Cou	ırt
March 4, 2014		Ву <u>С</u> .	. Sawyer	
Date	-	De	eputy Clerk	
		·		
	NO	TICE TO COUNSEL		
A copy of this notice must be filed, a copy of this notice n			on all defendants (íf a removal action is
Subsequent documents m	nust be filed at the fo	ollowing location:		
Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 900	, G-8 411 W	ern Division Vest Fourth St., Ste 1053 Ana, CA 92701		Division elfth Street, Room 134 e, CA 92501
Failure to file at the prop	er location will resu	lt in your documents	being returned to	you.

Case 2:14-cv-01602mpc-Astes Distance Color Frient A3/06/tric Pagaliforni A3 Page ID #:11

		4						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself 🔀) DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself 🔲)							elf 🔲)	
Larry Klayman		Judicial Watch						
(b) County of Residence	***************************************	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES ONLY)			***************************************		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name representing yourself, pro Larry klayman 2520 Coral Way, Suite 2027 Miami, FL 33145 Phone: (310)595-0800		Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information. Douglas Kress 11410 N. Jog Road Suite 100 Palm Beach Gardens, FL. 33418 Phone: (561) 694-0070						
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in o	ne box only.)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPALI	PARTIES-For D	iversity Cases Or	nly
1. U.S. Government	3. Federal Qu Government	uestion (U.S. : Not a Party)	(P Citizen	lace an X in one bo of This State	ox for plaint PTF DEF 1 1 1 X 2 X 2	iff and one for d Incorporated or of Business in th	efendánt) Principal Place nis State nd Principal Place	PTF DEF 4 4 5 5
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (I of Parties in I	, ,		or Subject of a Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X		2. 2				. , 6.	Multi-	
1321	Removed from State Court	3. Remanded from Appellate Court	ı		ransferred from Pistrict (Specify)	Another	District tigation	
V. REQUESTED IN CON	APLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: X Yes	No	(Check "Yes" o	only if dem	anded in comp	olaint.)	
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23:	Yes 🕱 No		MONEY DEMA	ANDED IN	COMPLAINT:	\$	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	(Cite the U.S. Civil Statut	e under which you are fili	ing and	write a brief stateme	nt of cause. I	Do not cite jurisdio	ctional statutes unl	ess diversity.)
28 U.S. Code § 1332 - Diversi	ty							
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only).								
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	f.	IMMIGRATION	PRISON	ER PETITIONS	PROPERT	Y RIGHTS
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land		462 Naturalization Application	Habe	eas Corpus:	820 Copyrigh	ts
400 State Reapportionment	120 Marine	245 Tort Product	1	465 Other		en Detainee otions to Vacate	830 Patent	
410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real	11 1	Immigration Actions	J닏 Senten	ice	840 Trademar	
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable	Property TORTS	PE	TORTS RSONAL PROPERTY	530 Ge	eneral eath Penalty	SOCIAL S 861 HIA (1395	2011 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
450 Commerce/ICC Rates/Etc.	150 Recovery of	PERSONAL INJURY	100 March 100 Ma	370 Other Fraud	(A) CONTRACTOR	Other:	862 Black Lun	·
460 Deportation	Overpayment & Enforcement of	310 Airplane		371 Truth in Lending	540 Ma	andamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIV	<u> </u>
☐ 470 Racketeer Influ-	Judgment	315 Airplane Product Liability		380 Other Personal		vil Rights	864 SSID Title	
enced & Corrupt Org.	☐ 151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	l	Property Damage		ison Condition	865 RSI (405 (a))
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	330 Fed. Employers		385 Property Damag Product Liability	[300 Ci	vil Detainee tions of	FEDERALT	
490 Cable/Sat TV	Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability 340 Marine		BANKRUPTCY	Confin	ement	870 Taxes (U.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O
850 Securities/Com- modities/Exchange	153 Recovery of	345 Marine Product		422 Appeal 28 USC 158	AV: 190 (4) to AV (10) (4)	URE/PENALTY	☐ Defendant)	
890 Other Statutory	Overpayment of Vet. Benefits	│└─ Liability		423 Withdrawal 28	Seizure	ug Related of Property 21	7609	Party 26 USC
☐ Actions	160 Stockholders'	350 Motor Vehicle		USC 157	USC 88			
891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental	Suits	Product Liability	-	CIVIL RIGHTS		LABOR		
Matters	190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal		440 Other Civil Right 441 Voting	.3	ir Labor Standards		
B95 Freedom of Info.	195 Contract	362 Personal Injury	/-	442 Employment	Act 72012	bor/Mgmt.		
896 Arbitration	Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ Med Malpratice ☐ 365 Personal Injury		443 Housing/	Relatio			
000 Admits Doors	REAL PROPERTY	Product Liability	1	Accommodations 445 American with	740 Ra	ilway Labor Act		
899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of		367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical		Disabilities-	751 Fai	mily and Medical		
Agency Decision	Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	Personal Injury Product Liability		Employment 446 American with		her Labor		
950 Constitutionality of	220 Foreclosure	368 Asbestos		Disabilities-Other	Litigati			
☐ State Statutes	Ejectment	Personal Injury Product Liability		448 Education	Securi	nployee Ret. Inc. ty Act		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Numbe	er:			141.	- 1 4 0	19	

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Case 2:14-cv-01600 NATES DISTRICTED URT TOLENT RAZIONS TRICT PROPERTY PROPE

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed state court?	from		STATE CASE WAS PE	NDING IN	THE	CO	UNTY OF:	INI	TIAL DIVISION IN CA	CD IS:	
☐ Yes 🗷 No		L	os Angeles						Western		
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check the			entura, Santa Barbara, or San	Luis Obisp	0	***********		Western			
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		_ o	range	***************************************				Southern			
Question D, below, and skip to Section	NIX.	Ri	verside or San Bernardino					Eastern			
Question B: Is the United States, or one or its agencies or employees, a party to this action? Yes X No		A PLAINTIFF7			agencies or employees, is a party, is it: A DEFENDANT?				INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:		
		Then check the box below for the county in which the majority of DEFENDANTS reside.			Then check the box below for the county in which the majority of PLAINTIFFS reside.						
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," chec box to the right that applies, enter the			os Angeles	1		Los Angeles			Western		
corresponding division in response to Question D, below, and skip to Section		Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo			Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Lu Obispo			n Luis	Western		
Question b, below, and skip to section	117.	<u> </u>	range	*************************************	☐ Orange				Southern		
		Ri	verside or San Bernardino			Riv	verside or San Bernardino	Eastern		ern	
		<u></u> О	ther	····		Ot	her		Western		
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? (Make only one selection perrow)	A. Los And Cour	geles	B. Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties	C. Orange (ity	D. Riverside or San Bernardino Counties	PERSONAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS.	E. ide the Central ict of California	F. Other	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:				Ĺ]				X		
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:]						×			
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:	e the location in which a								X		
C.1. Is either of the following true?	If so, ch	eck th	e one that applies:	C.2. Is	eith	er o	f the following true? If s	o, check th	e one that applies:	in the state of th	
2 or more answers in Column C			2 or more answers in Column D								
only 1 answer in Column C and no answers in Column D			only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C								
Your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below. If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.			Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below. If none applies, go to the box below.								
			Your case will i	nitially be	assid	ne/	d to the		**************************************		
				TERN DIVI	SION						
Question D: Initial Division?							INITIAL DIV	SION IN CA	.CD		
Enter the initial division determined b	y Questi	on A, I	B, or C above:		<u></u>	arystyr)					
Landon and the state of the sta			от в техно чено сонавления по на пред	J				ukkunun di erasterakan sanara erakan di kantu	doucantainnessan mar constantintent (n.c. 1900)		

Case 2:14-cv-01602mn C-A ATES DOSTRICO TOURT, LEWING 1/01/14/14/17 PAGE LIFORNIA Page ID #:13 CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CASES	: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	X NO		YES	
If yes, list case number(s):				
IX(b). RELATED CASES:	Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	X NO		YES	
If yes, list case number(s):				
Civil cases are deemed rela	ted if a previously filed case and the present case:				
(Check all boxes that apply)	A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or				
	B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or			
	C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or	,			
	D. Involve the same patent, trade hark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pres	ent.		
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTO (OR SELF-REPRESENTED		03/03	114		
other papers as required by law	CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pour forth the purpose of statistics you and initiating the suit dealers in September 1974, is required to	oursuant to Local I	Rule 3-1 is	not file	led

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))